MINUTES OF A WORK SESSION WITH STAFF, A PUBLIC HEARING AND A REGULAR MEETING OF THE VINEYARD TOWN COUNCIL July 22, 2015 at 6:00 pm

6:00 PM WORK SESSION

PRESENT:

ABSENT:

Mayor Randy Farnworth Councilmember Julie Fullmer Councilmember Dale Goodman Councilmember Nathan Riley (6:22 PM) Councilmember Sean Fernandez

Staff Present: Public Works Director/Engineer Don Overson, Planner Nathan Crane, Deputy Sheriff Collin Gordon, Town Clerk/Recorder Pamela Spencer, Planning Commission Chair Wayne Holdaway.

Others Attending: Ryan Hales with Hales Engineering; Residents Doug Drury, Chris Judd, and Tyce Flake; Gerald Anderson with Anderson Development; Stewart Park with Anderson Geneva.

6:00 PM -

Mayor Farnworth opened the staff session.

Public Works Director/Engineer Don Overson requested to take a few minutes at the end of the work session to update the Council on the Traffic Calming Committees progress.

Mayor Farnworth mentioned that he received two (2) calls from residents. He said that one (1) call was from Jake Holdaway requesting a name change for Lake View Drive to Walter's Way. Mr. Overson explained that they had to go through the process to request the name change, which could include contacting the County and then petitioning the town to change the name.

Mayor Farnworth said that he received another complaint about the abandoned house. He mentioned that they saw that there were notices pinned to the front door. He suggested that they ask the Town Attorney Dave Church what they could do. Mr. Overson explained that he called Utah County Animal Control and they told him they do not take care of anything other than a dog or cat. He said that they gave him the name of a company that takes care of rodents, which charges \$150.00 to come and assess the problem.

Mayor Farnworth said that he was concerned about the curb that was being installed near the former Roper property on 400 South and asked if they were going to have to cut the road to bring it up to grade. Mr. Overson confirmed that they would need to cut it back to make it even.

Mayor Farnworth asked about the dates on the opening of the Vineyard Connector overpass. Mr. Overson explained that UDOT said that they would have a list of items for W.W. Clyde by the 24th of July, and that they would have a week to a week and half to complete them. He said that after they were finished the town would need to put up traffic controls including a Road-Closed sign, so that no one would be able to drive into the construction area. He said that at the end of August when Main Street is completed they would open up the whole road.

Mayor Farnworth discussed the agenda with Councilmembers and staff.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to the Traffic Calming Committee.

Resident Chris Judd introduced the members of the committee. He felt that they had a good proposal to present to the Council at the next meeting. He said that were able to address several traffic issues in the Sleepy Ridge subdivision.

Resident Doug Drury explained that throughout the discussions they discovered that there were other things that could be incorporated into the proposal that would enhance it. He said that they were looking at using the radar speed signs on 425 South and Lake View Drive near the stop sign. He added that they would like to put one (1) sign on the long stretch on North Lake View Drive. He felt that they would prove to be the most effective way, cost wise, to calm the traffic. He said that they would also be able to gather data from the signs to produce a speed study at the same time. He explained that they were not a permanent sign and could be moved to other areas as needed. He suggested that they could use the data to see how effective the signs are.

Resident Alison Carlile addressed the issue of where golf carts cross the road. She said that it was confusing if the carts needed to yield or not with the low visibility at these crossings. She suggested they install stop signs and crosswalks to let those driving carts know they need to stop and it would help traffic know that they need to be watchful as well. Mr. Judd mentioned that the Sheriff could give the cart drivers a ticket if they did not stop.

Mr. Judd explained that the last item for discussion was the 90-degree angle turns, and suggested that they install right angle turn signs along with a painted dotted line in the middle of the road to keep drivers on their side. He said that they discussed installing dead end signs on the four (4) long cul-desacs. He said that it should help keep people from going 40 miles per hour into them just to turn around and come back out. He reviewed the costs for the different options. He said that speed humps cost around \$10,000 and the new suggested options would cost around \$15,000 for the whole neighborhood. He mentioned that the Town needed to look into a "safe route to school" plan. He said that the current safe route states that they should be going on the north side of 475 up through Orem to get to the school but there is no crosswalk for the children to get across the street. Mr. Drury said that the crosswalks would also help with traffic calming. Councilmember Goodman felt that the money would be well spent if they addressed the issues in the whole neighborhood. Mayor Farnworth's concern was the money would not be well spent if they were to install the speed humps and then had to take them out again.

Councilmember Goodman asked if they could install flashing signs at that crosswalk location or was it too far away from the school. Ryan Hales with Hales Engineering said that they would need to do a study to see how many pedestrians were using that crosswalk and if it would warrant the flashing signs. He also mentioned that they would need to have crossing guards at that location.

7:00 PM - REGULAR SESSION

PRESENT:

ABSENT:

Councilmember Sean Fernandez

Mayor Randy Farnworth Councilmember Julie Fullmer Councilmember Dale Goodman Councilmember Nathan Riley

Staff Present: Public Works Director/Engineer Don Overson, Planner Nathan Crane, Deputy Sheriff Collin Gordon, Town Clerk/Recorder Pamela Spencer, Planning Commission Chair Wayne Holdaway, Water Operator/Technician Sullivan Love.

Others Present: Residents Chris Judd, Tyce Flake, Marcus Jessop, Sherry Teschner, and Jake VanWagoner; Gerald Anderson with Anderson Development; Stewart Park with Anderson Geneva.

Regular Session - The meeting was called to order at 7:00 PM. The invocation was offered by Mayor Farnworth.

CONSENT ITEMS:

- a) Approval of Poll Workers
- b) Approval of Final Plat Phase 2A of WatersEdge

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER RILEY MOVED TO APPROVE THE POLL WORKERS FOR THE ELECTIONS AND PUSH THE APPROVAL OF THE FINAL PLAT PHASE 2A UNTIL THE END OF THE AGENDA FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

PLANNING COMMISSION UPDATE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL:

<u>Planning Commission Chair Wayne Holdaway</u> – Chair Holdaway reported that the Planning Commission adjusted the WatersEdge business item and gave the Commission's recommendation to approve it with the changes.

STAFF REPORTS

Public Works Director /Engineer—Don Overson — Mr. Overson gave an update on Main Street and the Vineyard Connector. He said that UDOT would have the Connector completed to Main Street by July 24 and then W.W. Clyde would have a week to a week and a half to finish items on a punch list provided by UDOT. He stated that Vineyard would need to install Road-Closed signage to keep vehicles off the overpass until Main Street is complete in August. Mayor Farnworth asked if 300 West would connect to the Vineyard Connector. Mr. Overson replied that 300 West is only a detour road and would no longer go through after Main Street is open for use. Mayor Farnworth asked if people would still have access to the lake. Mr. Overson said they would be able to use Main Street and 400 North.

Councilmember Riley expressed his concerns about the slope of the road on 620 East by the elementary school and 400 South. Mr. Overson explained that the road, curb, and gutter needed to slope enough to allow for water drainage. Councilmember Riley said that with the original road they had not had any drainage problems and wondered why it was a problem now. Mr. Overson said that when they put in the new curb and gutter the contractor installed it eight (8) to ten (10) inches too low and needed to bring it up to match the original curb and gutter but still have it slope enough for drainage.

Attorney – David Church – Mr. Church had no new items to report.

<u>Utah County Sheriff Department – Collin Gordon</u> – Deputy Gordon reviewed the 2015 quarterly report that he provided to the council. He showed the comparison with the same time in 2014. Councilmember Goodman asked if calls had increased. Deputy Gordon replied that calls had increased, with most of them from the apartments and townhomes.

<u>Planner – Nathan Crane</u> – Mr. Crane reported that he had a number of development applications that came in and it that it would take extra time to review them. He mentioned that the next Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting would be held on August 7, 2015. He said that they were progressing on the design of the 18-acre park and that Council should see something in August.

Treasurer – Jacob McHargue – Mr. McHargue was excused.

<u>Town Clerk/Recorder – Pamela Spencer</u> –Ms. Spencer gave a report on the election process. She stated that ballots had been mailed to every registered voter. She explained that they needed to turn in their ballots by August 11, and if they mailed them they needed to be postmarked by August 10. Ms. Spencer briefly explained how the same day voter registration would work.

COUNCILMEMBERS' REPORTS

<u>Councilmember Dale Goodman</u> – Councilmember Goodman reported that he attended a meeting with the Anderson Group and a potential business that could come to Vineyard. He said that it was a good meeting and he added that it was good to have someone from the Council at the meeting.

<u>Councilmember Julie Fullmer</u> – Councilmember Fullmer reported that the Orem Community Hospital cancelled their meeting but sent her a summary for posting. She said that she was able to form a Town Special Events Committee of about 20 volunteers.

Councilmember Sean Fernandez – Councilmember Fernandez was excused.

<u>Councilmember Nate Riley</u> – Councilmember Riley had no new items to report.

MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Farnworth reported that he attempted to contact UDOT about the freeway signs and that he had no response.

OPEN SESSION: Citizens' Comments

Mayor Farnworth opened the public session.

Resident Jake VanWagoner living at 33 North Rue de Matth asked when the next opt-out period would be for the recycling program. Mayor Farnworth explained that it was on the agenda for discussion tonight. Mr. VanWagoner said that his home was on the edge of the LeCheminant subdivision and the streetlights were too bright at night. He asked if it was possible to put them on a sensor. Mayor Farnworth stated that the lights are there for public safety, and that it would be costly to add the switches. He said that he was not aware of any other city that switched them off at night. Councilmember Goodman stated that the lights were for the pedestrians. Mayor Farnworth mentioned that the Orem City lights are brighter than the town's lights. Mr. Overson explained that Vineyard's lights were shaded so that they shine down.

Mr. VanWagoner mentioned that the drainage areas were looking like ponds and that one (1) was being overwatered. He said that he could see that water had built up in the bottom, and was concerned that it was a breeding ground for mosquitos. Mr. Overson stated that the pond on the northeast corner of the intersection was built too deep. He said that what they were seeing was ground water and that they needed to fill it with rocks. Mayor Farnworth asked if the mosquito abatement was being done in that subdivision. Mr. Overson said that he would check into it.

Mr. VanWagoner asked if there were any plans to build a Library in Vineyard. Mayor Farnworth replied that there were no plans at this time. He stated that they needed to have more homes and economic development to pay for it. He explained that the town had an agreement with the Orem City library and would reimburse the residents up to \$80.00 per year. Councilmember Fullmer mentioned that they could use the Provo Library as well the Orem Rec Center. Councilmember Riley stated that the Rec Center was separate and that they could be reimbursed for one or the other.

Resident Chris Judd said that a resident from LeCheminant asked about missing streetlights. Mr. Overson mentioned that the developer needed to pay for them and then they would be installed.

BUSINESS ITEMS:

8.1 PUBLIC HEARING – <u>Issuance of Series 2015 Bonds</u>

A Public Hearing regarding the issuance and sale of \$2,200,000 aggregate principal amount of annual appropriation and community development revenue bonds, series 2015 and the potential economic impact that the project to be financed with the proceeds of the series 2015 bonds may have on the private sector; and related matters.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to Cody Deeter with Lewis Young.

Mr. Deeter stated that the purpose of the public hearing was to give the public the opportunity to express any concerns they might have with the issuance of not more than \$2.2 million in bonds for the purpose of acquiring the right-of-way for the rail spur alignment from Anderson Geneva. He mentioned that the Council approved authorization for the Mayor to sign a Bond Issuance Agreement with the State of Utah. He said the public hearing was the opportunity for the public to express concerns before the agreement was signed. He said that the last thing to be done was to finalize the amount of the purchase with Anderson Geneva. He said that Anderson Geneva provided an appraisal, which was \$500,000, more than the town's appraisal and they are in negotiations with them.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion to open the public hearing.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 7:29 PM. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

Resident Tyce Flake living in the Shores subdivision asked where the land was located. Councilmember Riley explained the discussions the town and Anderson Development had in the past about removing the rail spur along Geneva Road. He said that the bond was to acquire the right-of-way for the relocation. He pointed out that the right-of-way was along the north portion at approximately 1600 North. Mayor Farnworth stated that it was important to bring the light rail into an intermodal hub in Vineyard. Mr. Church said that UTA would like them to preserve that right-of-way for a future light rail line. Mr. Overson said that he met with UTA about the removal of the spur and the FrontRunner Station and mentioned that they are very interested in using that right-of-way. He said that they are talking about the timing of opening the FrontRunner Station. He mentioned that UTA saw this location as one of three (3) where light rail, FrontRunner, and buses would be in one location.

Resident Sherry Teschner living on Holdaway Road stated that the rule was if they closed one railroad crossing, they would have to open another one and she was wondering if this was the same type of thing. Mayor Farnworth said that they traded for the Vineyard Crossing. He explained that 400 North was to have an at-grade crossing and they traded it for the air rights on the Vineyard Connector and Center Street with an at-grade crossing on 800 North. He mentioned that the at-grade crossing on 800 North would be removed if the spur were to be removed.

Mr. Judd asked if they were purchasing the easement and then selling it to UTA. Mr. Church said that the actual plan was that the railroad would own that easement and the Town would have to build them a new spur and he added that it would benefit the development of the property. He said that they were still negotiating the costs with both parties. He explained that the State would loan the town up to \$16 million to remove the spur, and buy the property on Geneva to replace it so the railroad could still service their customers. He said that if the spur does not go away the town still wanted to use the land for UTA in the future.

Ms. Teschner asked for clarification of the location of the rail spur. Mayor Farnworth explained that it was on the west side of Geneva Road and from Center Street to 1600 North.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion to close the public hearing.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER RILEY MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 7:38 PM. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.2 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Recycling Opt-Out Options

The Mayor and Town Council will discuss the options and timing for an out-out period for the recycling program. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth explained the reason for the opt-out discussion and then turned the time over to the Council for discussion.

Councilmember Goodman stated that the Council felt that there should be an opt-out option every year. He said that they felt they should not wait another six (6) months to make that decision. He mentioned that they felt it was a good program and wanted everyone to have the opportunity to participate. He said that they understood that not everyone wanted to be involved. He explained that people who were residents prior to the program starting were automatically put in the program unless they opted out. He added that people who had moved in after the program began were given 30 days to opt out. He stated that the only thing the Council had not decided on was how long the annual opt-out period would be. Mayor Farnworth said that they felt it was fair to give all of the residents an opportunity to opt out once a year. Mr. Church stated that the one thing that would keep them from adding the opt-out option would be if it was not included in the contract with Republic Services. He said that they went with the opt-out option to keep the price down and that it was up to the Council if they wanted to add the annual opt-out option. He explained that the Council had the authority to make recycling mandatory, have an opt-out period or to not offer recycling at all.

Mayor Farnworth asked the Council what they would like to do.

Councilmember Riley felt that September was a good time to offer the opt-out period. He suggested the Town give a 60 to 90 day notice each year for when the opt-out period was.

Mayor Farnworth asked if they offer it this September, they would not have enough notice. Councilmember Goodman said that it would be nice to have a two (2) to three (3) month notice, but if they start this year, they would not have that same amount of notice. Mayor Farnworth asked if they were going to notice for three (3) months did they need to give a full month for the opt-out period.

Councilmember Fullmer mentioned that they gave a 90-day notice before starting the program and it was hard for people to pay attention to the dates. She felt that 30 days was a sufficient amount of time for the opt-out program and that September was a good month to offer it. She mentioned that they could announce it on Facebook and the website and put it in the newsletter. Councilmember Goodman asked if it could be noticed permanently on the website.

Ms. Teschner asked if Republic Services was charging the town an additional fee. Mayor Farnworth explained that he was asking if they dropped below a certain amount of people recycling would Republic Services expect the town to make up the difference. Ms. Teschner mentioned that when she spoke with some friends who had lived in Washington state, they told her that they were paid to recycle and that in Arizona it was free. She wanted to know why they were being charged to recycle and while Republic Services was making money to sell it.

Mayor Farnworth explained that it was a private firm and they were in it to make money. He stated that nothing was free and that people in those states were paying for it in their taxes. He said that people wanted the program and so we worked with Republic Services to add it. Ms. Teschner asked if there was another company that offers this program. She felt that September was a good month to offer the opt-out option. She asked if people move out or die are they going to collect the fee from their

estate. Councilmember Fullmer explained that if someone were to purchase a home there was a 30-day opt-out period and if someone were to die, the program would be over.

Mr. Judd asked if, as with the opt-out period, they would have to give a notice to the residents staying in the program if there was a change in the cost. Mayor Farnworth said that they would give notice to the residents.

Mr. Church explained that the town was billing the residents and if the rate changed, the town would run at a shortfall until they had time to raise the rates. He said if someone opts in and then the town raise the rates, would they have opted out if they knew the rates were going to be higher. Mr. Judd wanted to know if the fee schedule would not change until the next opt-out period. Mayor Farnworth replied that the town would have a shortfall until they could raise the rates. Councilmember Goodman said that he understood that Republic would only change the rates once a year and that they needed to coordinate with Republic Services to have the opt-out period around the same time.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN MOVED TO AUTHORIZE AN OPT-OUT OPTION ON THE RECYCLING PLAN. THAT ANNUALLY THEY ALLOW PEOPLE TO OPT OUT FOR A PERIOD OF ONE MONTH, DURING THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER. THEY RESERVE THE RIGHT TO ADDRESS IT AT ANY TIME IF IT BECOMES A LIABILITY OR PROBLEM FOR THE TOWN AND THAT THEY CAN ADJUST IT AT A FUTURE DATE IF NECESSARY. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.3 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – WatersEdge Phase 3 Preliminary Plat

The applicant is seeking approval for their preliminary subdivision plat. The property is designated as Low Density Residential (1-2.5 du/ac) on the General Plan Land Use Map. The property is zoned WatersEdge Zoning District. The WatersEdge Zoning District was approved in June of 2014. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to Nathan Crane.

Mr. Crane reviewed the applicant's request and mentioned that it included two different planning areas. He said that the numbers of lots were 84 and 63 in each area and the original lots were approved at 77 and 64. He explained that they moved the 1-acre park further north. He mentioned that they included the east/west trail connection using a larger sidewalk trail combination and moving the 1-acre park to the north. He stated that Planning Commission had questions on the type of landscaping suggested along the power line corridor.

Mayor Farnworth asked for clarification on where the trail would be located. Mr. Crane explained that they were putting in a wider sidewalk and that it would run along the sides of the homes. He said that the connectivity would be through the roads and sidewalks instead of a trail corridor.

Councilmember Goodman stated that it would be harder to do a trail corridor when laying out homes compared to putting together a bubble map. He felt that they had accomplished the purpose by putting a wider path along the road area.

Mr. Evans explained that when they added the roads to this section they needed to include the trail corridor with the sidewalks and when doing this they widened the green space. He said that in Phase 1 they talked about moving the clubhouse to the east side and trading lots. He mentioned that they lost more lots in Phase1than they would gain in Phase 3 and he felt that this was a good trade.

Planning Commission Chair Holdaway asked if they could stripe the trail so people understand it was a trail. Mr. Evans said that because it was wider people could look down the trail and see the trailhead. He said that they could stripe it so the cross traffic knows there would be heavier than normal pedestrian traffic.

Councilmember Riley expressed his concern that they had spent a lot of time looking at the earlier maps and what was unique to this was that there was a trail system and now they could not *Page 7 of 14; July 22, 2015 Town Council Meeting Agenda*

accommodate it. He felt that they were losing that trail by widening the sidewalk and using other convenient ways to make it work and in so doing moving further from the original plan. He said that they were trying to piecemeal the project and that there was no big picture of the end result. Mr. Evans felt that they had not piecemealed the project nor had they taken out the open space. He explained that when it was approved they mentioned that it would change once they started laying out the lots, streets, and detention basins. He said that they all agreed on a conceptual master plan that had ideas of how they were going to connect different parts of the community, how they would provide for circulation, recreation, amenities and open space. He felt that this accomplished everything they said it would and then some. He said that they calculated out every square foot of open space that they promised.

Councilmember Riley said that in Phase 3, there were 5.3-acres of open space and now it was down to 2.63, which was almost half. He recognized that they had moved some of the open space to Phase 1, but the extra space picked up in Phase 5 could not be used. He said it was not as if they were giving up prime building space. Mr. Evans said that the open space became a pond that the Town requested they build in order to water the 18-acre park and park strips. Councilmember Riley said that it became a pond and added that they were cutting the open space in half and moving it to another space. He said he would like to see how it would all connect. Bronson Tatton with Flagship explained that the exhibit map showed the open space.

Councilmember Goodman asked if the acres that they initially promised were represented there. Mr. Tatton replied that the trail corridors were still in place, and where they are crossing or running along a street, they are widening the corridor.

Councilmember Riley asked how people would get to the next trail. Councilmember Goodman asked if there was a way to get to the park going across the wetlands, and how people would get to the park from the subdivision. Mr. Tatton reviewed the arterial roads and crossings and he mentioned that there were different ways to make them safer.

Mr. Evans said that while it was a connected trail system, it was more of an internal trail system and not part of the master planned trail system. He stated that there was no desire to create a system to stop traffic to get people across Main Street; it was an internal system to get people around inside the community. He said if people were trying to get somewhere farther away on the trail system they would go to 400 North or Main Street and use the regional trails to get to where you were going.

Councilmember Goodman said that some of the space in this phase is sidewalk and asked if they were counting that as some of their green space. Mr. Evans replied that they probably were counting the sidewalk as green space. Mr. Crane said that it included sidewalks and where it would cross a road. Mr. Evans stated that there is a sidewalk included in all of the areas. Mr. Crane said that there was a stipulation as to how they count the areas across the development. Mr. Evans said that they were providing an 8-foot asphalt trail system and added that it was not removed from the trail system. Mayor Farnworth asked if they were not losing any open space, and if the biggest argument was connectivity, was it the best, they could do without giving the Town more open space. Mr. Evans asked if it was unpalatable, because they were providing the open space asked for and the connectivity that needed to be there.

Councilmember Riley felt that there was a responsibility in the development from the trailside to go north and south and east and west. He said that if they were not going to have a crossing along Main Street people would have to go along 400 North or go south a long way to get over it. He asked if someone wanted to get to the lake park why not allow them to go through there. Nate Hutchinson with Flagship said that they were willing to put a crossing there, but added that from the Town's perspective the focus had been on keeping transportation moving on Main Street.

Councilmember Goodman asked how wide the trail was along the 8-foot asphalt. Mr. Hutchinson said that there was curbing, green space, asphalt trail, sidewalk, more green space and then a wall.

Councilmember Riley asked if there was just one entrance off Main Street into Phase 3. Mr. Crane replied that it was a standard road cross section with 30 feet of asphalt. Mr. Overson explained that it would be 12 feet of park strip and 10 feet of sidewalk. Mr. Tatton stated that it was 56 feet of right-of-way. Mr. Overson said that they gave up two (2) feet of park strip for more asphalt.

Mayor Farnworth said that he did not see any way that it could be done differently. Mr. Crane said that in his opinion they did not want a mid-block crossing.

Deputy Gordon mentioned a mid-block crossing in American Fork that was difficult to get across and was a public safety issue.

Councilmember Riley asked if they could install a flashing light system where they have to stop when the light is flashing red. He asked if that impeded traffic. Deputy Collin stated that a mid-block crossing needed something to control it. Mr. Evans stated that Main Street was the Town's road and the discussion with staff was that there was a desire to keep traffic flowing.

Mayor Farnworth asked if there was anything that could be changed. Mr. Evans said that if they looked at the original plan the major difference was that they moved the clubhouse and added roads. He added that the trail system is in the same place and if there was a desire to provide a greater level of connectivity across the Main Street rather than have it be an internal system that connects to the backbone trails and provide minor connectivity then that was a roadway discussion they need to have with the Town Engineer.

Councilmember Goodman asked if there was a way, in the 15,000-lot area, to move the cul-de-sac to run south off of 350 South and run the trail system through there. Mr. Tatton said they were looking at a distance issue. Mr. Hutchinson said that they preferred the cul-de-sac but noted that it was too long for the fire code.

Mr. Church said that the Town might have an ordinance that limits the length of cul-de-sacs. Councilmember Riley asked if the map presented was the final map. Mr. Tatton replied they should not need to have another discussion to accommodate the loss. Councilmember Riley asked how the numbers compared to the original numbers. Mr. Evans said that they are slightly larger but close. Mr. Tatton mentioned that the detention basin on the northwest might increase that number. Councilmember Riley asked what the usability of the power line corridor was. Mr. Tatton stated that they were providing an 8-foot asphalt trail. He explained Rocky Mountain Power's standards and the landscaping suggested for the area.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

Councilmember Riley asked staff about the cross-ability of the road and if people walking on the major trail system would feel safe. He said if it was not safe on Main Street on the trail system could they make a crossing inside the neighborhood that allows people to get to their destination. Mr. Overson explained that they built a five-lane road to get people out of town and putting in a crossing mid-block would turn it into a residential road. He said that if they put in wider roads and allowed people to cross five (5) lanes even with flashing lights, people would start out across with traffic coming at them going 35 miles per hour, which would be scary. He explained that if they get to a signalized intersection it becomes easier to cross. He rmarked that adding a mid-block crossing defeats the purpose of having five (5) lanes. Mr. Evans mentioned that the trail system is set back farther from the road, with the curb and gutter, eight (8) feet of landscaping and then the trail.

Resident Marcus Jessop said that his concern was that people from the Garden subdivision were crossing the four (4) lane road to get to the Town Park and that there was no other access. He added that he could see people crossing on Main Street rather than going to the intersection.

Resident Chris Judd said that they have the same issue in his neighborhood. Councilmember Goodman stated that they were not losing any green space and that there was always going to be a path.

Mr. Jessop asked if they could find a way to block the trail off on Main Street so people did not see it on the other side and not cross mid-block to get to it. Mr. Tatton explained that where the trails meet they put flares that will tie them into the other direction and end at the sidewalk. Mr. Evans mentioned that there would be a landscape median.

Resident Tyce Flake felt that they were heading for a disaster, because people would jaywalk unless something was in place to direct them forward. Mr. Tatton said that they could put a planting bed in the park strip just beyond where the trail intersects with the other one and add directional signs for the trail users. Mr. Flake said that he has spoken to 147 households recently and they all wanted to know when the trails would connect and where the playground was for their two year olds. He mentioned that this would be a youthful city with a lot of children and there was no place for them to Page 9 of 14; July 22, 2015 Town Council Meeting Agenda

play in that area. Councilmember Riley felt that the trail and the sidewalk that goes through the area were strictly for Phase 3. Mayor Farnworth asked how they would tell other people that the trail was only for Phase 3 and they could not use it. Mr. Tatton said that they moved the pocket park in Phase 3 and the clubhouse that was in an HOA because the lots in Phase 3 were to be bigger and would have bigger yards for children to play in.

Mayor Farnworth asked if the Council wanted to continue this item until a future meeting. Mr. Evans asked if they did continue it, that they specify why they are continuing it.

Mr. Hutchinson said that if they wanted to take lots out and bring the clubhouse back then they would need to revise Phase 1.

Councilmember Goodman felt that the concern was the connectivity through the subdivision and asked if there was any other way to lay it out so there was a better trail. Mr. Evans replied that it was not the only option but that this layout maximized the efficiency with the lots and trail systems.

Councilmember Riley asked if there were 147 homes in Phase 3 with one entrance/exit on Main Street and two to the west. Mr. Crane said that there was one entrance/exit to the north, providing four (4) points of access into the subdivision. Councilmember Riley asked with the entrance on Main Street if the cars would be able to go both directions and if so would the intersection be signalized. Mr. Evans said that it would not be signalized but there would a break in the median to get across. Mr. Evans replied that the only planned signal was on 400 North.

Councilmember Fullmer felt that with the trail as designed there would be a few places that the children could cross and that it would accommodate the area.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER MOVED TO APPROVE PHASE 3 SUBJECT TO THE NINE (9) STIPULATIONS GIVEN BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

- 1. STAMPED JULY 14, 2015 EXCEPT AS MODIFIED BY THESE STIPULATIONS.
- 2. PRIOR TO FINAL PLAT APPROVAL, THE STREET NAMES AND ADDRESSING SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER AND TOWN PLANNER.
- 3. ALL STREET RIGHT-OF-WAYS AND IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE DEDICATED AS REQUIRED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER.
- 4. THE FINAL PLAT AND FINAL LANDSCAPE PLANS SHALL BE REVISED AS DETERMINED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER AND TOWN PLANNER.
- 5. THE FINAL PLAT SHALL NOT BE RECORDED UNTIL THE CONSTRUCTION OF MAIN STREET IS COMPLETED.
- 6. THE PRELIMINARY PLAT SHALL BE REVISED TO INCLUDE THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF OPEN SPACE AS SHOWN ON THE APPROVED MASTER PLAN.
- 7. APPROVAL FROM PACIFICORP REGARDING IMPROVEMENTS UNDERNEATH THE POWER LINES AND THE STREET CROSSING IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO FINAL PLAT APPROVAL FOR ANY PHASE.
- 8. ALL WALLS SHALL MATCH THE APPROVED WALL PLAN.
- 9. PRIOR TO FINAL PLAT APPROVAL OF ANY PHASE, THE TOWN PLANNER AND TOWN ENGINEER SHALL APPROVE THE PLANT MIX AND TREATMENT PLAN FOR ALL NATIVE AREAS.

COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY WAS OPPOSED. MOTION CARRIED 3-1 WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.4 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – <u>Amendment to the Town Parking Code</u> (*Ord* 2015-10) Town Attorney David Church will present a proposed amendment to the Town Parking Code. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to the Town Attorney David Church.

Mr. Church explained that in the new code the section on parking states it was an infraction for any person to park or leave standing on any public road, street, alley or Town property any motor vehicle for 48 or more consecutive hours, and any vehicle so parked or left standing may be

impounded or removed by the chief of police. He said that this issue as defined by State Code did not include trailers, etc. He suggested an amendment to include motor homes, boats, trailers, or other items for 48 hours. He added that if any of these items are moved and reparked in the same spot within 24 hours it was deemed to be continuously parked.

Councilmember Goodman asked if he had a car that he drove to work every day and parked in the same spot, would that count. Councilmember Goodman asked what if he worked only four (4) days a week. Mr. Church said that the way the code was written was 48 consecutive hours. He added that it was a common ordinance.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN MOVED TO AMEND SECTION 11-344 OF THE VINEYARD TOWN CODE REGARDING PARKING ON PUBLIC STREETS AND WAYS AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS FOLLOWS: MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN, AND COUNCILMEMBER RILEY VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ WAS ABSENT. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.5 **DISCUSSION AND ACTION** – <u>Amendment to the Beer License Code</u> (*Ord 2015-11*) Town Attorney David Church will present a proposed amendment to the Beer License Code. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to the Town Attorney David Church.

Mr. Church explained the he cleaned up the retail sale of Beer License because of changes in State laws. He explained the differences in the types of Beer Licenses. He mentioned that the Maverik had their Business License application in and would want their Retail Beer License by the time they open in October. He said that they were asking what the fees would be and he added that staff requested a few changes in the wording. He said that they might want to add additional types of licenses, etc., in the future.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER MOVED TO AMEND THE SECTION OF THE VINEYARD TOWN CODE REGARDING THE LICENSING OF BUSINESSES ENGAGED IN THE RETAIL SALE OF BEER. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL VOTE WAS A FOLLOWS: MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN, AND COUNCILMEMBER RILEY VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ WAS ABSENT. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.6 **DISCUSSION AND ACTION** – <u>Amendment to the Town Fee Schedule</u> (*Res 2015-10*) Town Attorney David Church will present a proposed amendment to the Town Fee Schedule to include a fee for a Beer License. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mr. Church explained that the Town never adopted a Beer License Fee or a bond amount. He said the he prepared a brief resolution to amend the current fees schedule. He mentioned different cities license fees. He stated that the legislature said that they could only charge enough to cover the cost of issuing the license.

Councilmember Riley said that in a seasonal situation the odds are good with an event that they would need more law enforcement than at the Maverik. He felt the fees should be higher for the seasonal. Mr. Church explained that they are annual fees and that he set the bond at \$5,000.00 which was same as the State's bond amount.

Councilmember Riley said that Lindon had an application fee of \$100.00 and a license fee of \$300 for total of \$400. Mr. Church said that the Ordinance states that they needed do a background check on the applicant with the police department along with costs for processing the application. He added that with the bigger stores the background check was easy, where the smaller businesses would be a different type of check.

Mayor Farnworth asked if Lindon's was \$400 and Orem was \$600 was it because Orem was a bigger city. He asked how they came up with an amount. Mr. Church recommended that they start at the low-end fee.

Mr. Crane said that on the special event permits it should include hours of operation and estimated costs associated with the permit. Mr. Church said that the seasonal beer license would not be an issue and that they needed something on the books now.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER RILEY MOVED TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION THAT ESTABLISHES BEER LICENSE FEES FOR A, B, C, AND D AT \$400.00 PER LICENSE. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL VOTE WAS A FOLLOWS: MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN, AND COUNCILMEMBER RILEY VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ WAS ABSENT. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.7 **DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Pay Ranges**

Staff is requesting approval of the adjusted pay ranges (This item was continued from the July 8, 2015 meeting.) The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth gave a brief background on this business item and then called for a motion

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN MOVED TO ADOPT THE PAY RANGES AS PRESENTED BY STAFF IN THE JULY 8, 2015 MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

8.8 **DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Staffing**

Staff is requesting approval of reorganization of the Finance department (This item was continued from the July 8, 2015 meeting.) The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Councilmember Fullmer stated that the concern was the Treasurer being melded with the Administrative Assistant position. She said that she was told they would hire someone in the morning for the front desk and then that person would switch to the Treasurer position in the afternoon. Mayor Farnworth explained that they needed to satisfy the auditor's concerns. Councilmember Fullmer felt comfortable with hiring a Finance Director.

Councilmember Riley's concerns were that if they were going to hire a Finance Director and the pay range would be \$20,000 more than a Treasurer and it would include other employee expenses then they were giving up the opportunity of the hiring an Economic Development Director.

Councilmember Fullmer explained that the positions were being kept to the lower numbers. Councilmember Riley agreed that they were being kept to the low numbers, but to go from a Treasurer pay to a Director's pay, they are still increasing the income \$20,000 and he was not sure they needed a Finance Director. He felt that they needed more conversation on the subject and he added that the \$20,000 could go towards hiring someone to help with Economic Development on the Geneva site.

Councilmember Fullmer explained that they would be paying for only one employee at the administrative assistant range. She said that the pay was only a few dollars more between the assistant and the Treasurer.

Mayor Farnworth explained that the important thing was that they would be hiring more people and needed to be able to keep good people.

Page 12 of 14; July 22, 2015 Town Council Meeting Agenda

Councilmember Fullmer said that she was okay with either moving the Treasurer back into the Council and only hiring front office staff or dividing the job with someone that was in the office full time.

Councilmember Riley mentioned that there was a conversation about bringing some of the finance back to the Council. Councilmember Fullmer felt that it made sense to have someone in the office looking at the day-to-day finances.

Mr. Jessop asked if they would not be hiring someone to work with the developers if they hired a Finance Director. Councilmember Fullmer explained said that it was a separate issue. Councilmember Riley felt that it could be depending on the numbers. He said that if they modified the Finance Department and hired a Finance Director, then he believed they were taking the dollars away from the Economic Development Director position.

Mayor Farnworth stated that he was trying to find time to meet with Lewis Young and find out what the incentives were that they could promise to developers before they talk about the Economic Development Direct position. He said that he was trying to make sure they were growing with the staff that they needed and not become the training grounds for the county.

Councilmember Goodman felt that they needed to reorganize enough to separate the Treasurer from the accounting role. He said that the Treasurer was an appointed position, and at the minimum, they needed to reorganize the Finance Department to have a level of security.

Councilmember Riley said that they functioned with the separation for a long time and asked if it was acceptable to allow the Council to have final say in the adjustment of the pay ranges.

Councilmember Goodman explained that in larger cities they have an Administrator that made those decisions. He stated that they had a Mayor who had the final say and was in charge of the running of the town.

Councilmember Fullmer asked if they could separate the positions now, not hire a Finance Director, and get someone to confirm the impact of the hiring of an Economic Development Director.

Councilmember Goodman mentioned that he received a list of items that Mr. McHargue was currently doing for the town. He said that some of those things did not fall within the Finance Director role but he was doing many things that did. He stated that he did not have a problem with hiring the positions but the issue of what the pay ranges should be was another discussion. He felt good about the work Mr. McHargue was doing for the town.

Council agreed to continue this item.

8.9 **DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Fireworks in the Park**

The amended Vineyard Municipal Code states that the Town needs to consent to allowing fireworks to be discharged in the Town Park. Staff is requesting Council's opinion and approval or denial of the discharge of fireworks in the Town Park as part of a pavilion rental during the State approved timeframes. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth explained the request to light fireworks in the park. He asked if the town were to give them permission were they liable.

Mr. Church said that originally, it was a total ban and then the Ordinance was changed to allow Council to give permission for special events. He felt that it would not increase the liability if they were giving permission to a family. He said the real issue was can it be done safely in the park and would they clean up afterwards. He said that if it were just one (1) family then maybe it would not be too bad. He asked if there were any fireworks restrictions in the Town. Mayor Farnworth replied that there were no restrictions. Mr. Church said that it was not a liability unless the Town was sponsoring the event.

Councilmember Riley said that the concern was if they give one (1) group permission, others see it, and then they might think they can light them off in the park as well, that the Town would have no control. He stated that they would not clean up nor would they have paid a deposit. Mayor Farnworth suggested that if they want to have fireworks they should shoot them off in their own yard.

Councilmember Goodman asked if the Town had allowed them in the past. Mayor Farnworth said that he was not aware of anyone asking. Mr. Church said that if they did not give permission they would just shoot them off in the street. He said that he would support the rule that there were no fireworks allowed in the park.

Council did not give permission for fireworks in the park. Councilmember Riley suggested they get a more organized event and then possibly allow it.

Consent Item b) Approval of Final Plat Phase 2A of WatersEdge

Councilmember Riley asked for a review of the development. Mr. Crane explained that it was for approval of 19 lots south of the 18-acre park. Councilmember Riley's concern was people parking in the subdivision for soccer games at the park. He asked if they should change the park to put some of the soccer fields closer to the parking. Mr. Crane suggested that they could move the trail away from that area. He said that if there was a 100-foot walk to the fields there could be an issue. Mr. Judd felt that whether there was an access point or not they would still park on the road.

Mr. Overson mentioned that they found peat moss and had to move the parking lot. He said that it would come down the east side. He asked if on the parcel across the street would there be a trail along the wetlands to the park. Mr. Evans said that the trailhead would be along Center Street and then go to the 18-acre park. Mr. Crane said that the developer agreed to move the trail down.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT ITEM B WITH AN ADDITIONAL STIPULATION OF A RELOCATION OF THE TRAIL. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER MOVED TO CLOSE THE MEETING AT 9:51 PM. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

The meeting adjourned at 9:51 PM. Next regularly scheduled meeting is August 12, 2015.

MINUTES APPROVED ON:	September 23, 2015
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
CERTIFIED CORRECT BY:	/s/ Pamela Spencer
P SPENCER TOWN CLERK	RECORDER